

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/522,817	01/31/2005	Jean-Paul Froment	14954NP	4861
293 DOWELL & I	7590 07/27/200 DOWELL P.C.	EXAMINER		
103 Oronoco St.			SUTTON, ANDREW W	
Suite 220 Alexandria, V.	A 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3765	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/522,817	FROMENT, JEAN-PAUL	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ANDREW W. SUTTON	3765	

F	ANDREW W. SUTTON	3765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIE	CATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following re application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appea for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:</li> </ol>	e same day as filing a Notice of A plies: (1) an amendment, affidavit I (with appeal fee) in compliance of R 1.114. The reply must be filed of	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of</li> </ul>	the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of averance under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sate forth in (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later th may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	sion and the corresponding amount or ortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complia filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with	ion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further cons</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	ideration and/or search (see NOT ;	E below);	
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	r form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a colline NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	rresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121	San attached Nation of Nan Cor	mpliant Amandment (	OTOL 224)
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		ripliant Amendment (r	- I OL-324).
Applicant's lepty has overletted let following rejection(s).      Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)          how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provid</li> </ol>		l be entered and an ex	planation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but be affidavit or other evidence.	refore or on the date of filing a No	tion of Annual will not	he entored
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and s was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a</li> </ol>	rcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but of	loes NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
See Continuation Sheet.			
<ol> <li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (P</li> </ol>	10/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			
	/Shaun R Hurley/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3765	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant has failed to overcome the final rejection mailed on 2/20/09. The applicant argues that the device of Faase would not require reinforcement. While this may or may not be the case, the examiner stated in the rejection that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to combine Faase and Wagner to provide a means for securing the heddle, not for reinforcement.